

1 MARY KATE SULLIVAN (State Bar No. 180203)
 2 NATILEE S. RIEDMAN (State Bar No. 257871)
 nsr@severson.com
 3 SEVERSON & WERSON
 A Professional Corporation
 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
 4 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 398-3344
 5 Facsimile: (415) 956-0439
 6 Attorneys for Defendant
 WELL'S FARGO BANK, N.A.
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA—SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

10

11 GLORIA Q. NATIVIDAD; FELICISIMO M.
 NATIVIDAD,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 vs.

14 WELL'S FARGO BANK, N.A.; FIRST
 AMERICAN LOANSTAR SERVICES, LLC,
 a Texas limited liability company, DBA
 15 FIRST AMERICAN TRUSTEE SERVICING
 SOLUTIONS, LLC and FIRST AMERICAN
 16 LOANSTAR TRUSTEE SERVICES, LLC;
 NEWBURY PLACE REO III, LLC.; BSI
 17 FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.; and all
 persons or entities unknown claiming any legal
 18 or equitable right, title, estate, lien or interest
 in the property described in this Complaint
 19 adverse to Plaintiffs' title thereto, and DOES 1
 20 through 25, inclusive,

21 Defendants.

22

23
 24 On September 26, 2012, the Court in the above-captioned action issued an order, referring
 25 the case to the ADR unit for an assessment telephone conference. (See docket entry number
 26 38.) In the September 26, 2012 order, the Court requested that the defendants arrange for a
 27 representative to participate in the telephone conference, for the purpose of discussing the
 28 possibility of another loan modification review. (*Id.*) On or around September 27, 2012, the ADR
 55002.0045/2409996.1

CV 12-03646-JSC

 REQUEST TO BE EXCUSED FROM CLIENT PARTICIPATION BY WELL'S FARGO BANK, N.A. AT THE
 OCTOBER 3, 2012 ADR TELECONFERENCE; [PROPOSED] ORDER

1 department issued a scheduling notice, setting an ADR conference call for October 3, 2012. (See
2 docket entry number 39.)

3 As detailed further in the motion to dismiss filed by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ('Wells
4 Fargo'), Wells Fargo does not have any current relationship to the loan that is the subject matter of
5 this litigation. Thus, Wells Fargo would be unable to meaningfully participate in any discussions
6 concerning the possibility of a loan modification. Accordingly, Wells Fargo respectfully requests
7 that the Court allow Wells Fargo to be excused from producing a client representative at the
8 October 3, 2012 ADR telephone conference. Counsel for Wells Fargo, of course, would happily
9 participate and will be fully apprised of the case status and any settlement position on the part of
10 Wells Fargo.

11

12 DATED: October 2, 2012

SEVERSON & WERSON
A Professional Corporation

13

14

15

By: _____ /s/ Natilee S. Riedman
Natilee S. Riedman

16

17

Attorneys for Defendant
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having reviewed the request by Wells Fargo, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby
ORDERS that Wells Fargo shall be excused from producing a client representative at the October
3, 2012 ADR conference call. Counsel for Wells Fargo shall participate.

5 | IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 | DATED: October 2, 2012

Jacqueline S. Corley
United States District Court Judge
Jacqueline Scott Corley